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## Text

## [*52] INTRODUCTION

The school-to-prison pipeline is a controversial concept and a [*53] disappointing reality. It refers to the draconian disciplinary "trend of schools directly referring students to law enforcement or creating conditions under which students are more likely to become involved in the justice system--such as suspending or expelling them." ${ }^{1}$ Public schools are intended to primarily be institutions for public education. It is clear that serving as a pipeline to prison is not the central purpose of the public school.

The purpose of public education is to provide students an opportunity to develop their capabilities and grow as individuals. ${ }^{2}$ Public education is intended to inculcate civic values that will prepare students to function socially and integrate seamlessly into society. ${ }^{3}$ However, prison is a place where we send those who demonstrate an inability or unwillingness to adhere to the norms of society, those who have not embraced society's values, and those who have therefore, not successfully integrated into society. ${ }^{4}$ School should prepare students for happy, successful, and meaningful lives that are completely unrelated to prison. The school-to-prison pipeline distorts the public education mission by way of a "collection of education and public safety policies and [*54] practices that push our nation's schoolchildren out of the classroom and into the streets, the juvenile justice system, [and ultimately] the [adult] criminal justice system." ${ }^{5}$ As the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) has noted: "[t]his pipeline reflects the prioritization of incarceration over education." 6

[^0]Black children are "disproportionately targeted for referral and arrest by police in schools" ${ }^{7}$ and are inevitably more susceptible to becoming victims of the school-to-prison pipeline. One of the most disturbing consequences of being a victim of the pipeline is that it leads to negative educational and long-term outcomes and, thus, undermines a student's right to public education. Any student funneled into the pipeline is on a path to destruction. ${ }^{8}$

The core idea presented in this symposium-inspired piece is that the school-to-prison pipeline undermines the right to public education and must therefore be dismantled. This argument is advanced in three parts. Part I begins by first recognizing that there is a right to public education. That right is a duty--a positive right--that each state has an obligation to enforce pursuant to their state constitutions and the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution. Part II defines the school-to-prison pipeline. This part discusses the emergence of zero tolerance policies and the impact of school exclusion and arrest on student education. Part III addresses the disproportionate impact of zero tolerance policies on Black victims and concludes that the school-to-prison pipeline is part of the modern American story of racial oppression.

## [*55] I. Fundamental Rights and the Pipeline

The right to public education is fundamental. As was discussed previously in the Fifth Freedom and The New Due Process, history and traditions together demonstrate education as essential to ordered liberty within the United States; therefore, education should be recognized as a fundamental right. ${ }^{9}$ However, that right has been undermined by the infamous San Antonio v. Rodriguez case, which held that there is no fundamental right to public education under the U.S. Constitution. ${ }^{10}$ Despite the Rodriguez holding, today, each of the United States has acknowledged their duty to provide public education either by way of their state constitutions or by way of judicial decisions that acknowledge the duty. ${ }^{11}$ A school-to-prison pipeline undermines the right to public education. ${ }^{12}$

This part begins by first arguing that education should be recognized as a fundamental right. Education is an access point to freedom, indeed historically it has been treated by enslavers and the enslaved as

[^1]fundamental to any meaningful concept of liberty. ${ }^{13}$ The goals of education align with the concept of a fundamental right. Next, this part acknowledges that the San Antonio v. Rodriguez holding failed to recognize the fundamental right to public education but juxtaposes that holding with the recognition of the right exists in every state in the United States. This part concludes by describing the school-to-prison pipeline and how such a pipeline undermines a right to public education.

## [*56] A. Why Education is a Fundamental Right

Access to public education is fundamental to ordered liberty. ${ }^{14}$ To understand this, we shall consider fundamental rights and their relationship to liberty, before discussing why education is itself essential to liberty. Finally, we shall consider the extent to which the goals of public education also align with the concept of a fundamental right.

## 1. Fundamental Rights and Liberty

Fundamental rights are so rooted in the nation's history and traditions that they are fundamental to ordered liberty. ${ }^{15}$ Fundamental rights are not all explicitly stated in the text of the Constitution. ${ }^{16}$ Those unenumerated fundamental rights are so important that they are nonetheless recognized as being of equal stature to enumerated rights. ${ }^{17}$ Today, fundamental rights are defined as those rights that are so rooted in the nation's history and traditions that the Supreme Court recognizes them as fundamental. ${ }^{18}$

## 2. Education as Essential to Liberty

When the Court recognizes a fundamental right, it considers the historical view of the right and its relationship to U.S. tradition and concepts of liberty. ${ }^{19}$ The Northwest Territory Ordinance of [*57] 1787 declared that "knowledge, being necessary to good government and the happiness of mankind, schools and the means of education shall forever be encouraged." ${ }^{20}$ Up until the Civil War, education in the South was largely seen as an enterprise for the privileged few. "The Reconstruction Era freedmen's schools were a

[^2]manifestation of the social, political, and legal recognition of the centrality of education to any meaningful concept of American liberty and citizenship." ${ }^{21}$ Indeed, the newly freed slaves recognized education as essential to maintaining their freedom. ${ }^{22}$

In the middle of the 20th century, the Court explicitly recognized the importance of education in cases such as Brown v. Board of Education and Meyer v. Nebraska. ${ }^{23}$ Brown v. Board labeled education a strong American value before holding that "separate but equal" has no place in the field of public education. Meyer v. Nebraska also recognized that education has been an important American value as far back as the colonial era. ${ }^{24}$ Both cases explicitly view education as important, suggest by their holdings that it is a fundamental American value, and implicitly support education as a right. ${ }^{25}$

More recently, the federal government recognized the significance of education. President Bush in the America 2000 Plan ${ }^{26}$ underlined that "education is a necessity for America's continued vitality" ${ }^{27}$ and that "the value of public education in the minds of the American people has developed beyond what it was at the adoption of the Constitution." ${ }^{28}$ Therefore, "education [*58] should receive the same protection as a 'vital personal right,' or fundamental right that marriage and privacy receive." 29

Like the right to privacy, education is also essential to liberty. ${ }^{30}$ The connection between education and liberty was recognized in the classical, enlightenment era, and modern philosophies of Aristotle, Rousseau, and John Dewey respectively; as well as today's capabilities approach as developed by Amartya Sen and Martha Nussbaum. ${ }^{31}$ The case for a human dignity-based constitutional protection for the right to public education is even stronger than the already recognized human dignity-based constitutional protection for the right to privacy. ${ }^{32}$ This is because, unlike the right to privacy, "education is essential to both the liberty [component] and to the democracy component of human dignity." ${ }^{33}$ Despite a broad consensus regarding the importance of primary and secondary education, educational opportunity is systematically denied to the

[^3]children of racial-ethnic minorities and to underprivileged children of every race. ${ }^{34}$ No factor is more indicative of the sort of education a child will receive than the socioeconomic status of that child's parents. 35 "[S]ystemic failures are not incapable of correction; however, U.S. Constitutional law doctrine has gotten in the way." 36

## 3. Goals of Public Education Align with Fundamental Rights

Public education is appropriately conceived of as a "positive fundamental right because education is a basic human need and a constituent part of all democratic rights." 37 "[E]ducation is essential to any meaningful concept of personal liberty and to democracy." 38 "Without an educated citizenry, liberty and democracy are merely empty concepts, devoid of meaning for all [*59] but the economically privileged and socially advantaged." ${ }^{39}$ For instance, voter turnout is much lower among people with no college education as compared to people with college and graduate level education. ${ }^{40}$ The voter turnout for adults who have not completed high school is even lower. ${ }^{41}$ "Education inspires and enables meaningful democratic engagement." 42

In Brown, the Court underscored how education plays a most important role in sustaining state and local governments, improving a democratic society, inculcating civic values, and in helping to cultivate productive members of society. ${ }^{43}$ As a consequence, if denied access to education, a child cannot be expected to succeed in life. ${ }^{44}$

## B. Current Doctrine Falls Short of Identifying a Fundamental Right, but Still Protects The Right

[^4]Current federal constitutional law doctrine falls short of identifying a clear, fundamental right to public education. ${ }^{45}$ Nevertheless, the Court has continued to recognize and protect the [*60] right to public education. ${ }^{46}$ In considering the continuing existence and protection of the right to public education despite conflicting Supreme Court doctrine, this part begins by reviewing the San Antonio v. Rodriguez holding. Next, we will explore how each state recognizes the right to public education. ${ }^{47}$ This discussion concludes by reviewing Plyler v. Doe as a federal judicial acknowledgement of a limited if not fundamental federal right to public education. ${ }^{48}$

## 1. Rejection of Federal Constitutional Right: San Antonio v. Rodriguez

The right to public education was constrained by San Antonio v. Rodriguez, where the Court held that there is no right to public education under the U.S. Constitution. ${ }^{49}$ In Rodriguez, the challenge by MexicanAmerican school children of a Texas statute that funded elementary and high schools with property taxes failed. ${ }^{50}$ Among other things, the Court claimed that there was no fundamental right at stake that would elevate the case to a higher level of Constitutional scrutiny. ${ }^{51}$ The case was evaluated under the rational basis test -- the lowest level of Constitutional scrutiny. ${ }^{52}$

The Rodriguez holding, arguably, conflicts with Brown v. Board of Education. ${ }^{53}$ Notwithstanding the Rodriguez holding regarding the fundamental right to public education, experts have long considered the latter case of Plyler v. Doe as having modified the rights holding. In Plyler, the Court treated education as an important right, which receives more than the minimal level of [*61] constitutional scrutiny. ${ }^{54}$ So that irrespective of the fundamentality of the right to public education, there exists a federally protected right to public education. ${ }^{55}$ Furthermore, today, each state has acknowledged its duty to provide public education either by way of their state constitutions or by way of judicial decisions that acknowledge the duty. ${ }^{56}$

[^5]
## 2. State Recognition

Each state recognizes the right to public education, including the State of New York. ${ }^{57}$ Article XI of the New York Constitution provides that all children are entitled to have a sound basic education consisting in "basic literacy, calculating and verbal skills necessary to enable children to eventually function productively as civic participants capable of voting and serving on a jury." ${ }^{58}$ The goal is to provide children the foundational tools necessary for productive citizenship. ${ }^{59}$

The New York Constitution further states that "[t]he legislature shall provide for the maintenance and support of a system of free common schools, wherein all the children of this state may be educated." ${ }^{60}$ Specifically it requires all schools to provide "minimally adequate teaching of reasonably up-to-date basic curricula such as reading, writing, mathematics, science, and social studies, by sufficient personnel adequately trained to teach those subject areas." 61

Some states recognize that their state's constitutions have an educational quality requirement embedded within their state's right to public education. One example of a state court attempting [*62] to breathe life into a state's constitution's quality requirement is Rose v. Council for Better Educ. ${ }^{62}$ Here the court held that every Kentucky child must be provided with equal opportunity and access to an "adequate education." ${ }^{63}$ Another such example is Claremont $I$, where the court held that "the New Hampshire public schools have a duty to provide a 'constitutionally adequate education to every educable child.'" ${ }^{64}$ In these two cases, the state courts treated their state constitutions as actually imposing some duties on the legislature that are not left for the legislature alone to interpret completely independent of judicial review. ${ }^{65}$

Although Federal constitutional law doctrine does not directly recognize a fundamental right to public education, the right is currently protected by both the states and by the federal government. ${ }^{66}$

## 3. Federal Law Recognizing the Right to Public Education

[^6]Since Rodriguez, federal statutes have been passed that protect a right to public education. ${ }^{67}$ In addition, the Court has protected a right to public education as a matter of federal constitutional [*63] law. ${ }^{68}$

The Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment protects students from the abuses of the school-toprison pipeline and thereby reinforces the right to public education. ${ }^{69}$ In the specific context of zero tolerance, Goss v. Lopez held that students were entitled to due process protections prior to either suspension or expulsion. ${ }^{70}$

In Goss, some students were suspended from a school in Ohio for disruptive behavior in a lunchroom. ${ }^{71}$ The students were suspended for more than ten days. ${ }^{72}$ The Court highlighted that the school authorities did not allow a hearing or provide evidence or testimony that justified the suspension of the students. ${ }^{73}$ Justice White wrote that even if the right to education is not explicitly protected by the Constitution, students are protected from arbitrary suspensions from school by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. ${ }^{74}$ The opinion recognized that even short term suspensions can create problems for students, especially for their reputation with fellow students and teachers, future opportunities for higher education and employment. ${ }^{75}$ State actions can stigmatize a person's reputation, so that even suspension from a public school for as little as ten days, without notice and a hearing, significantly undermines a student's public education due process rights. ${ }^{76}$ Due process requires notice and a hearing. ${ }^{77}$

In Plyler, the main issue was the constitutionality of the Texas Education code, section 21.031, establishing that undocumented children were required to pay tuition in order to attend public school or were even denied the access to school. ${ }^{78}$ Plyler held that despite not being a fundamental right, education was such an important right that undocumented children cannot be denied it simply because they are undocumented. ${ }^{79}$

[^7]Regardless of [*64] immigration status, educational rights must be extended to everyone, "whether citizens or strangers," who is within the boundaries of a state. ${ }^{80}$ Before a state can justify denying, even an undocumented alien, public education, it must demonstrate that such a denial would "further some substantial state interest." ${ }^{81}$ This "substantial state interest" requirement, while not precisely matching the language of the strictest form of constitutional scrutiny, represents a form of heightened scrutiny for the right to public education and thus modifies the rational basis standard that was suggested in Rodriguez. ${ }^{82}$

## B. Pipeline to Prison

The trend of schools directly referring students to law enforcement for committing certain offenses at school undermines the above described right to public education. ${ }^{83}$ Public education's goal is not to make students more likely to become involved in the criminal justice system. ${ }^{84}$ Such an outcome is a failure of education, not a success. Yet, today's schools are not fulfilling their primary educational mission and are instead preparing them for, and in some cases putting them in, prison.

Nance suggests that "[o]ver the last three decades, our nation has witnessed a dramatic change regarding how schools discipline children for disruptive behavior." ${ }^{85}$ Incredibly, "during the 2011-12 school year alone, schools referred approximately 260,000 students to law enforcement and there were 92,000 school based arrests." ${ }^{86}$ These figures may not be an indication that serious disruptive behaviors in schools have

[^8]escalated since "extreme disciplinary measures" for trivial disruptive behaviors are [*65] routinely invoked in schools. ${ }^{87}$ As such, these over disciplining measures may be wholly unwarranted in many instances. ${ }^{88}$

This draconian school discipline trend of "directly referring students to law enforcement or otherwise creating conditions that leads to greater involvement with law enforcement, such as suspending or expelling them feeds the school-to-prison pipeline." ${ }^{89}$ [*66] "Not all racial groups are affected equally by these negative trends." ${ }^{90}$ Children of color are disproportionately targeted for referral and arrest by police in schools ${ }^{91}$ and are therefore more susceptible to becoming victims of the school-to-prison pipeline.
${ }^{87}$ Nance, supra note 85, at 1064; citing to ACTION FOR CHILDREN, FROM PUSH OUT TO LOCK UP: NORTH CAROLINA'S ACCELERATED SCHOOL-TO-PRISON PIPELINE 8-9 (2013), http://www.ncchild.org/wpcontent/uploads/2014/05/2013_STPPFINAL.pdf (citing AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, LOCATING THE SCHOOL-TOPRISON PIPELINE (2008) https://www.aclu.org/files/images/asset_upload_file966_35553.pdf) ("Students were most commonly referred to the juvenile justice system for low-level offenses."); TONY FABELO ET AL., JUSTICE CTR. \& PUB. POLICY RESEARCH INST., BREAKING SCHOOLS' RULES: A STATEWIDE STUDY OF HOW SCHOOL DISCIPLINE RELATES TO STUDENTS' SUCCESS AND JUVENILE JUSTICE INVOLVEMENT 38 (2011), https://csgjusticecenter.org/wpcontent/uploads/2012/08/Breaking_Schools_Rules_Report_Final.pdf (showing that more than $97 \%$ of suspensions and expulsions in Texas resulted from offenses that did not require suspension or expulsion under law); FED. ADVISORY COMM. ON JUVENILE JUSTICE, ANNUAL REPORT 2010 at 10 (2010),http://www.facjj. org/annualreports.html (follow link for 2010 report); NAT'L ASS'N FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, ARRESTING DEVELOPMENT: ADDRESSING THE SCHOOL DISCIPLING CRISIS IN FLORIDA 6 (2006), https://b.3cdn.net/advancement/e36d17097615e7c612_bbm6vub0w.pdf (reporting that during the 2004-05 school year in Florida, 76\% of student referrals to the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice were for offenses such as disorderly conduct, trespassing, and fighting without a weapon); Daniel J. Losen,Sound Discipline Policy for Successful Schools: How Redressing Racial Disparities Can Make a Positive Impact for All, in DISRUPTING THE SCHOOL-TO-PRISON PIPELINE 45, 54 (Sofia Bahema et al. eds., 2012) [hereinafter Losen, Sound Discipline] (maintaining that the vast majority of suspensions and expulsions are for minor offenses).
${ }^{88}$ Janel George, Populating the Pipeline: School Policing and the Persistence of the School-to-Prison Pipeline, 40 NOVA L. REV. 493, 501 (2016); See also FED. ADVISORY COMM. ON JUVENILE JUSTICE, supra note 73 at 9-10, 12; See also Avarita L. Hanson, Have Zero Tolerance School Discipline Policies Turned into a Nightmare? The American Dream's Promise of Equal Educational Opportunity Grounded in Brown v. Board of Education, 9 U.C. DAVIS J. JUV. L. \& POL'Y 289, 302, 308-09, 312-13 (2005).
${ }^{89}$ Jason P. Nance, Over-Disciplining Students, Racial Bias, and the School-to-Prison Pipeline, 50 U. RICH. L. REV. 1063,1064 (2016); citing to Hawker v. Sandy City Corp., 774 F.3d 1243, 1245-46 (10th Cir. 2014) (Lucero, J., concurring); Jason P. Nance, School Surveillance and the Fourth Amendment, 2014 WIS. L. REV. 79, 102-03 (2014); School-to-Prison Pipeline Must Be Dismantled, Stakeholders Tell ABA, ABA NEWS (Feb. 7, 2015, 9:59 AM), https://www.americanbar.org/news/abanews/aba-news-archives/2015/02/school-to-prisonpip.html; Press Release, Sen. Dick Durbin, Ill., Durbin Holds Hearing on Ending the School-to-Prison Pipeline (Dec. 12, 2012),http://www.durbin.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/durbin-holds-hearing-onending-the-school-to-prison-pipeline. See also Jason P. Nance, Dismantling the School-to-Prison Pipeline: Tools for Change, 48 ARIZ. ST. L.J. 313, 324 (2016) (explaining the causes of the trend of over-disciplining students); Jason P. Nance, Students, Police, and the School-to-Prison Pipeline, 93 WASH. U. L. REV. 919, 929 (2016) (discussing the shift to the "criminalization of school discipline" and the reasons behind it).
${ }^{90}$ Jason P. Nance, Over-Disciplining Students, Racial Bias, and the School-to-Prison Pipeline, 50 U. Rich. L. Rev. 1063, 1065 (2016) (citing JACOB KANG-BROWN ET AL., VERA INST. OF JUSTICE, A GENERATION LATER: WHAT WE'VE LEARNED ABOUT ZERO TOLERANCE IN SCHOOLS 3 (2013), https://storage.googleapis.com/vera-web-assets/downloads/Publications/a-generation-later-what-weve-learned-about-zero-tolerance-in-schools/legacy_downloads/zero-tolerance-in-schools-policy-brief.pdf); citing to Daniel J. Losen, Sound Discipline For Successful Schools: How Redressing Racial Disparities Can Make Positive Impact For All, in DISRUPTING THE SCHOOL-TO-PRISON PIPELINE 45, 50-51 (Sofia Bahena et al. eds., 2012). See also ADVANCEMENT PROJECT, POWER IN PARTNERSHIPS: BUILDING CONNECTIONS AT THE INTERSECTION OF RACIAL JUSTICE AND LGBTQ MOVEMENTS TO END THE SCHOOL-TO-PRISON PIPELINE 1-2 (2015), http://b.3cdn.net/advancement/85066c4a18d249e72b_r23m68j37.pdf.

[^9]One of the most disturbing consequences of being a victim of the pipeline is that it creates the potential for significant negative educational and long-term outcomes and undermines the victim's right to public education. Schools sometimes refuse to readmit students after they have been arrested by the police. ${ }^{92}$ When a "student is readmitted . . ., that student often suffers from emotional trauma, stigma, and embarrassment and may be monitored more closely by school resource officers, school officials, and teachers." ${ }^{93}$ This creates an uncomfortable environment and [*67] hampers their ability to learn.

## II. Zero Tolerance and the Prison Pipeline

The tension between the acknowledged right to public education by the states' highest laws and the failure to federally acknowledge a fundamental right under the U.S. Constitution has contributed to educational inadequacies and inequality. ${ }^{94}$ Schools are often framed as safe spaces where students should be able learn without fear of trauma or physical harm. This safety argument is frequently used to justify so-called "zero tolerance" policies that hold students strictly liable for a wide range of offenses that are deemed to undermine school safety. ${ }^{95}$ Zero tolerance policies require that students be removed, sometimes permanently, from their schools. ${ }^{96}$ These policies have been found to have a cascading effect on the students whom these policies have been applied to--an effect whose end result is a pipeline to prison. ${ }^{97}$

This part begins by first defining the zero tolerance policies and describing their history. Next to be discussed will be the impact of zero tolerance on students - including the impact of exclusion from school and arrest. Finally, it concludes by revealing that these policies do not reduce violence. What zero tolerance policies do accomplish is the transformation of schools into areas of police surveillance that are more reminiscent of prisons than educational centers.

## A. Definitions and Policies

As we consider the meaning zero tolerance and the history of those policies, we begin by recognizing the relationship of zero tolerance policies to the school to prison pipeline. Next we will [*68] examine how zero tolerance policies emerged.

[^10]
## 1. Zero Tolerance and its Relationship to the Prison Pipeline

Zero Tolerance is "a policy that originally was designed to address the most serious misconduct, which then morphed into a 'take no prisoners' approach to school discipline issues that created a track which led down into the juvenile and criminal justice systems." ${ }^{98}$ The term, zero tolerance, derived from "the war on drugs in which . . . law enforcement quickly and aggressively responded to offenders." 99

While having police and other law enforcement officers in schools can serve to promote safety and prevent crime, the reality is that police presence in schools is also having serious and long-lasting effects on students. The primary impact of "zero tolerance policies has been the troublesome impact on student education. ${ }^{100}$ Students continue to be expelled for minor fractions, which has discouraged students from attending school even as the schools themselves mimic prison-like conditions. ${ }^{101}$

## 2. Emergence of Policies

Schools have adopted policies that remove students from schools in order to create an illusion of a safer environment. Schools have two avenues in which they can pursue the pipeline. ${ }^{102}$ First, "excessive police involvement in imposing discipline and zero-tolerance policies that often end in arrest or referral to the juvenile justice system." ${ }^{103}$ Second, "police officers and metal detectors [*69] often transform schools from nurturing learning environments into virtual detention centers." ${ }^{104}$ Detaining students as if they were criminals has become a normal method of discipline. Indeed, policies such as policing in schools and zero tolerance have been shown to be ineffective as corrective measures and instead serve to demoralize our children." 105

School safety is key to a safe learning environment. However, an expanded police presence itself undermines the sanctity of the learning environment without providing additional safety. Zero tolerance policies pave a path or lay a pipeline to "incarceration through suspensions, expulsions, high-stakes testing, push-outs, and the removal of students from mainstream educational environments and into disciplinary

[^11]alternative schools." ${ }^{106}$ The new culture of discipline has brought instability to an already fragile learning environment in many schools. ${ }^{107}$

In 1993, homicides committed at schools reached a high of fifty-four deaths. ${ }^{108}$ In response to the distress regarding school violence, society abandoned rehabilitative measures and replaced them with "get-tough" policies. ${ }^{109}$ Congress enacted the Gun-Free School Act [*70] of 1994, which required states to expel students in possession of a firearm on school grounds for at least one year to ensure federal funding for their public schools was not jeopardized. ${ }^{110}$

The ultimate goal of zero tolerance policy was to send a message to potential troublemakers that their actions will not be tolerated. ${ }^{111}$ In the 1990s, advocates of zero tolerance argued that there was an increase in violence in school and as a result there had to be a tougher approach to school safety. ${ }^{112}$ However, data from the U.S. Department of Education which issues its Annual Reports on School Safety concluded that "[t]he vast majority of America's schools are safe places." ${ }^{113}$ This official observation has not stopped schools and lawmakers from acting on a continuing fear of violent crimes among juveniles. ${ }^{114}$ While safety is important, it should not be ignored that when schools create an environment with an excessive police officer presence, metal detectors, and other prison-like conditions, students will begin to see their schools as prisons. ${ }^{115}$

[^12]Far from being a silver bullet that has made schools safer and more conducive to learning, the overbearing police presence and overly punitive disciplinary policies appear to have transformed schools into places where administrators are far more concerned with controlling student behavior than encouraging scholarship and the free flow of ideas. ${ }^{116}$

Too many schools have become overly concerned with enforcing the zero tolerance policies at the expense of their primary mission -- serving as a learning haven for students. Traditionally, the use of police in schools was done through a practice that arose out of "a [*71] community-oriented policing philosophy which emphasize[d] a proactive and prevention-oriented approach to policing." ${ }^{117}$ Today, some schools, misguidedly, rely on law enforcement to handle routine disciplinary matters. ${ }^{118}$ An excessive police presence in schools has distorted the way teachers now interact with their students by criminalizing even innocuous childhood misbehavior such as texting or even passing gas in class. ${ }^{119}$ These policies that feed the prison pipeline have triggered a more damaging interaction between students, their teachers, and law enforcement.

## B. Impact on Students

Zero Tolerance policies resulting students being excluded from school and arrested. We shall begin by discussing the impact of exclusion from school on the students. Then, we shall consider the [*72] impact of arrest on the students who have received the ultimate punishment under zero tolerance policies.

## 1. Impact of Exclusion from School

Law and policies perpetrating Zero Tolerance in schools have an objectively negative effect on students. 120 "The number of students in secondary schools suspended or expelled increased from one in thirteen in

[^13]1972-73 to one in nine in 2009-10." ${ }^{121}$ Many suspensions were the result of trivial infractions of rules that did not endanger the physical well-being of other students. ${ }^{122}$ The majority of them are school-based referrals to law enforcement. Many negative consequences are associated with incarcerating the youth for minor infractions. Empirical evidence demonstrates that once a student is incarcerated it effects his or her future educational, housing, employment and military opportunities. ${ }^{123}$ Furthermore, it affects the mental health of the student who develops a violent attitude, and it increases the possibility of future involvement in the justice system. ${ }^{124}$ The Tenth Circuit recognized the traumatizing impact of zero tolerance policies. In Hawker v. Sandy City Corp., they said that "[t]he criminal punishment of young schoolchildren leaves permanent scars and unresolved anger, and its far-reaching impact on the abilities of these children to lead future prosperous and productive lives should be a matter of grave concern for us all." 125

Even if the student is not convicted or incarcerated there are negative consequences such as the refusal to be readmitted in the school, the emotional trauma, stigma in their schools and among classmates and teachers. ${ }^{126}$ They are more monitored than other students and as a consequence they will have lower test scores, higher likelihood to drop out of schools and increased interaction [*73] with the justice system. ${ }^{127}$ From a study conducted by the criminologist Gary Sweeten, a "first-time arrest during high school nearly doubles the odds of a high school dropout, while a court appearance nearly quadruples the odds of dropout." ${ }^{128}$ The "sociologist Paul Hirschfield found that those who were arrested in ninth or tenth grade were six to eight times more likely than students who were not arrested to drop out of high school." ${ }^{129}$ When a student is expelled, he is deprived of an educational experience, giving him "more time and opportunities to engage in harmful or illegal activities." 130

Another problematic aspect of these policies is that although the data does not demonstrate more frequent or more serious misbehavior by Black students, Black students are disproportionately punished under zero

[^14]tolerance policies. ${ }^{131}$ The Office of Civil rights found that there are many cases where "African-American students were disciplined more harshly and more frequently because of their race than similarly situated white students." ${ }^{132}$ In short, racial discrimination in school discipline, specifically the targeting of Black students, is a real problem.

Even if a student is not convicted and detained, the consequences are severe because schools may refuse to readmit students who have been suspended and frequently do not readmit [*74] students who have been expelled. These students suffer emotional trauma, stigma, and embarrassment. ${ }^{133}$ When excluded students are readmitted to school, the stigma continues as they are more closely monitored by school officials, teachers, and school resource officers.

## 2. Impact of Arrest

When students are arrested, it starts a cycle that has a long-term effect on students who, many times, do not have proper guidance and are acting out to seek attention. Arrested students have lower test scores as a result of the trauma of arrest and missed school days, which often leaves them unfocused when they are able to attend school. ${ }^{134}$ Arrested students are both less likely to graduate and more likely to become involved in the criminal justice system later in life. ${ }^{135}$

As Nance notes, when students are arrested for trivial offenses such as "texting, passing gas in class, violating the school dress code, stealing two dollars from a classmate, bringing a cell phone to class, [or] arriving late to school," ${ }^{136}$ this causes students to act out and conditions them to be distrustful of authority in the future. ${ }^{137}$

[^15][*75] [Studies show] that arresting a student substantially reduces the odds that the student will graduate from high school, especially if that student appears in court. It also decreases the odds that a student will succeed academically and have future stable employment opportunities. Worse, it increases the likelihood of that student's future involvement in the criminal justice system. The consequences associated with incarceration are even more severe. Empirical research shows that incarcerating youth reinforces violent attitudes and behaviors; limits future educational, housing, employment, and military opportunities; deteriorates their mental health; and increases the likelihood of their future involvement in the justice system. ${ }^{138}$

It is dehumanizing for a student to be handcuffed and walked out as if he has committed a serious crime for a trivial offense. Students who suffer under such treatment frequently lose interest in succeeding academically and may also have their chances for future employment greatly diminished. ${ }^{139}$ When students are treated as criminals, they will begin to believe that they are criminals, and are more likely to become criminals.

## B. Results for Schools

The United States Department of Education has found no evidence that zero tolerance polices curb violence in schools. ${ }^{140}$ Furthermore, statistics indicate that juvenile crime was decreasing prior to the Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994. ${ }^{141}$ The US Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights Data Collection demonstrates that although African-American students represented only $16 \%$ of the total number of students during the 2011-12 school year, they represented $32 \%$ of students receiving [*76] an in-school suspension; $33 \%$ of students receiving one out-of-school suspension; $42 \%$ of students receiving more than one out-ofschool suspension; and $34 \%$ of students who were expelled. ${ }^{142}$ Also during this period, African-American students accounted for $27 \%$ of the students who were referred to law enforcement, and $31 \%$ of students who received a school-based arrest. ${ }^{143}$ Just as appalling, or perhaps more so, while African-Americans accounted for $18 \%$ of the preschool student population, they represented $48 \%$ of the preschool children who received more than one out-of-school suspension. ${ }^{144}$ These disparities are not explained by more frequent or more serious misbehavior by minority students. ${ }^{145}$

[^16]Michael Krezmien, Peter Leone, Mark Zablocki, and Craig Wells conducted an empirical study to compare referrals across multiple states and found that in four of the five states studied (Arizona, Hawaii, Missouri, and West Virginia) ${ }^{146}$ referrals from schools comprised a larger proportion of total referrals to the juvenile justice system in 2004 than in 1995. ${ }^{147}$ That study also demonstrated that schools in Missouri, Hawaii, and Arizona referred greater proportions of their students in 2004 than in 1995. ${ }^{148}$ The number of schoolbased arrests also increased in the Philadelphia Public School District (from 1,632 in 1999-2000 to 2,194 in 2002-2003); ${ }^{149}$ Houston Independent School District (from 1,063 in 2001 to 4,002 in 2002); Clayton County, Georgia (from 89 in the 1990s to 1,400 in 2004); ${ }^{150}$ Miami-Dade County, Florida (a [*77] threefold increase from 1999 to 2001, and from 1,816 in 2001 to 2,566 in 2004); ${ }^{151}$ and Lucas County, Ohio (from 1,237 in 2000 to 1,727 in 2002). ${ }^{152}$ Similar to the increase of suspensions and expulsions, there is substantial evidence that the vast majority of these school-based referrals were for relatively minor offenses. ${ }^{153}$

Furthermore, the economic costs of incarcerating students are staggering. The national average expense for detaining one juvenile per year is $\$ 148,767$ (reaching as high as $\$ 352,663$ in the state of New York). ${ }^{154}$ Beyond the millions of dollars that government entities spend to incarcerate youth, some estimate that the long-term costs to our society of detaining youth (which include lost future earnings, recidivism, lost future tax revenue, and additional Medicare and Medicaid spending) range from $\$ 7.9$ billion to $\$ 21.47$ billion per year. ${ }^{155}$

The U.S. Department of Education determined that there is little statistical evidence that Zero Tolerance is effective at suppressing violence in school. ${ }^{156}$ Statistics demonstrate conclusively that juvenile crime was declining prior to the implementation of the Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994 and other zero tolerance policies.

[^17]${ }^{157}$ Also, "the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report indicates a decline of $23 \%$ in juvenile [*78] homicide arrests between 1989 and 1998." ${ }^{158}$ According to the U.S. Department of Education, "children between the ages of twelve and eighteen are more likely to suffer a violent crime outside of school than inside school property." ${ }^{159}$ Despite these compelling statistics, school administrators continue embracing zero tolerance as an effective way to decrease school violence and protect students and teachers. ${ }^{160}$ Zero Tolerance policies have proven to be ineffective in increasing safety in the schools, and are unjustifiably penalizing the students. ${ }^{161}$

## III. Victims of the Pipeline

The impact of zero tolerance policies has been the reinforcement of a school to prison pipeline. To be clear, all students at schools that apply zero tolerance policies are ultimately the victims of such policies. The mass surveillance and prison-like conditions that frequently accompany these measures inculcate a mentality of control and punishment that is at odds with the educational values of free and critical thought.

There is even greater damage done to those students who are ensnared by the zero tolerance policies. Those students are all too frequently Black students. This part begins by first providing support for the fact that Black students are not more prone to be mischievous. This part next discusses how, notwithstanding the fact that Black students are not more mischievous, schools discipline and arrest Black students at higher rates than similarly situated white students. The part concludes that racism in school discipline violates the right to public education as well as the right to due process and the Equal Protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.
A. Black Students unjustly receive higher rates of school discipline and arrest

Black Students are not more prone to misbehavior, yet there are [*79] higher rates of discipline and arrest for Black Students. ${ }^{162}$ The Office of Civil Rights confirmed that it has found "cases where AfricanAmerican students were disciplined more harshly and more frequently because of their race than similarly situated white students. ${ }^{163}$ In short, racial discrimination in school discipline is a real problem." 164

[^18]Empirical studies show that disparities are not explained by more frequent or more serious misbehavior by minority students. ${ }^{165}$

Empirical analys[e]s [have] revealed that both student race and student poverty were strong predictors for whether a school chose to employ high surveillance security methods. ${ }^{166}$ These findings support what many scholars have observed anecdotally--that large, urban schools serving primarily low-income or minority students are more likely to create intense surveillance environments than other schools; ${ }^{167}$

In effect, minority and low-income students are subjected to "heavy-handed, punitive-based measures to maintain order and control crime." 168 "The findings further suggest that schools serving primarily affluent or white students find alternative ways to create safer environments." 169

In his study titled "Race Is Not Neutral: A National [*80] Investigation of African American and Latino Disproportionality in School Discipline," Skiba summarized that:

The fact of racial/ethnic disproportionality in school discipline has been widely and, we would argue, conclusively demonstrated. Across urban and suburban schools, quantitative and qualitative studies, national and local data, African Americans and to some extent Latino students have been found to be subject to a higher rate of disciplinary removal from school. These differences do not appear to be explainable solely by the economic status of those students, nor through a higher rate of disruption for students of color. ${ }^{170}$

Using data from more than 22,000 students from forty-five elementary schools in a large suburban/urban, rural consolidated school district in a mid-Atlantic state, ${ }^{171}$ Rocque investigated whether teachers are more likely to discipline black students. ${ }^{172}$ After taking into account their conduct, their school performance, and their attitude and demeanor, it was found that as early as elementary school black students feel the sting of discipline at a much higher rate than whites. ${ }^{173}$ The study's results suggested that disproportionality in discipline is not explained by differential behavior and is thus not justified. ${ }^{174}$

[^19]In its Joint "Dear Colleague" letter dated January 8, 2014, issuing guidance on the non-discriminatory administration of school discipline, the U.S Department of Education (DOE) and the U.S. Attorney General noted that both departments recognized that disparities in student discipline in school districts may be caused by a number of factors. ${ }^{175}$ "However, research suggests that the substantial racial disparities of the kind reflected in the CRDC [Civil Rights Data Collection] data are not explained by more frequent or more serious misbehavior by students of color." 176
[*81] Research and data from the DOE's Civil Rights Data Collection ("CRD Collection") provide telling evidence regarding racial disparities. Disparities exist with regard to school discipline, including student suspensions, referrals to law enforcement, and school-based arrests at "every school level in every setting." 177 As such, Black children are faced with a serious obstacle when seeking access to public education because they receive more frequent and harsher discipline. This can lead to serious negative consequences for them. Consequences that are disproportionate, not because they misbehave more than other children, but "because of their race." 178

Black students represented $16 \%$ of the total student population during the 2011-12 school year, but represented $32 \%$ of students who received an in-school suspension, $33 \%$ of students who received an out-of-school suspension, $42 \%$ of students who received more than one out-of- school suspension, and $34 \%$ of students who were expelled. ${ }^{179}$ In another snapshot, during the 2009-10 school year, one out of every six black students enrolled in K--12 public school was suspended at least once, but only one out of every twenty white students was suspended. ${ }^{180}$ Even worse, during the 2009-10 school year, one out of every four African American students with a disability was suspended during that same time period. ${ }^{181}$ And perhaps even worse than that, during the 2011-12 school year, while African American children represented $18 \%$ of preschool enrollment, they represented $48 \%$ of preschool children who received more than one out-of-school suspension. ${ }^{182}$ Significant racial disparities also exist with respect to law enforcement referrals and school-based arrests. According to the 2011-12 CRD Collection, although African American students represented $16 \%$ of the total student population, they represented $27 \%$ of students that schools referred to law enforcement and $31 \%$ of students subject to a school-based arrest. ${ }^{183}$

[^20]The right to public education is undermined by the continuing [*82] existence of the school to prison pipeline and the victims of this pipeline are disproportionately Black students.

## B. Inequality and Oppression

For Black students, schools mirror the pervasive racism and inequality in American society. ${ }^{184}$ Entrenched racial biases have led to selective enforcement and discriminatory application of school discipline measures.

Entrenched racism in school discipline negatively influences decision making by educators and school officials and causes them to engage in the disproportionate application of strict security measures on students of color. These biases can create a tense and uncomfortable environments for Black children and contribute to funneling these children through the school-to-prison pipeline.
"Several empirical studies conclude that arresting a student leads to lower standardized test scores, a higher probability that the student will not graduate from high school, and a higher likelihood of future involvement in the justice system." ${ }^{185}$ When victims of the pipeline "begin to sense that the educational process will not help them--that it is unlikely that they will meet the grade level expectations, graduate, attend college or obtain a well-paying job--they have fewer reasons to behave, take school seriously, master classroom material or stay in school." ${ }^{186}$ Instead, they [*83] retaliate by "disrupt[ing] classroom activities, push[ing] back against mandatory attendance policies, look[ing] for (often illegitimate) ways to establish their selfworth, identity, and status among peers, or drop[ping] out of school altogether," ${ }^{187}$ missing out on their right to be educated.
"There is evidence suggesting that some school administrators and teachers believe that some students, particularly African-American male students, simply cannot be taught, are 'unsalvageable' and are prison
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bound." $\quad{ }^{188}$ Consequently, there are many educators "who believe that they lack the resources to help all of the troubled students and have adopted an exclusionary ethos to preserve their limited resources to help students who they believe have a better chance of succeeding," ${ }^{189}$ effectively denying [*84] victims and potential victims of the pipeline of their right to public education. ${ }^{190}$

Sussman suggests that "[f]looding schools with police officers has the direct effect of pushing children toward the track to prison. For many nonwhite students, school criminalization reflects the racial profiling, police harassment, and disproportionate incarceration that they see in their communities." ${ }^{191}$ The result has been a disaster when nonwhite students feel entrapped as if they are already in prison when they go to school. Furthermore, data has demonstrated that "[n]onwhite students are far more likely than white students to be arrested, suspended, expelled, or exposed to corporal punishment for the same type of conduct." 192

Given that one in nine black men aged twenty through thirty four are incarcerated, ${ }^{193}$ and one in three "young [B]lack males live under some form of criminal justice control," ${ }^{194}$ routine police contact in schools that resemble prisons cannot be healthy. ${ }^{195}$ The constant police presence in criminalized schools represents to students that the school's priority is controlling, not educating, them and normalizes police surveillance

[^22]and prison. ${ }^{196}$ This [*85] message is reinforced by the merger of the criminalized culture students see inside their schools and the mass incarceration they see in their communities. ${ }^{197}$

## CONCLUSION

The school-to-prison pipeline undermines the right to public education and must therefore be dismantled. Notwithstanding the fundamental rights holding of San Antonio v. Rodriguez, there is a right to public education that each state within the United States has recognized and that the constitution and federal laws protect. Both the Equal Protection Clause and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment demand that students be treated equally and not be subject to arbitrary application of zero tolerance policies. Despite these protections, zero tolerance policies emerged and have negatively impacted the lives of children through school exclusion and arrest. The disproportionate impact on Black victims of the school-to-prison pipeline is part of the modern American story of racial oppression.
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